

**RECOMMENDED**

THE HERETIC, Quarterly Journal of the  
Kulturkampf/Realpolitik/Esoterrorism. Box 38-262 Wellington  
Mail Centre, New Zealand.

SUSPIRE/ORDO SINISTRA VIVENDI, Box 83 Paekakariki, Wellington,  
New Zealand.

KEY OF ALOCER 88 Rangituhi Cres. Porirua, New Zealand.

BALDER, 60 Elmhurst Rd. Reading, RG1 5HY, England.

THE BURNING GROUND, 5 Rue Gabriel Pierne, 57000, France.

THE BLACK FLAME/CHURCH OF SATAN, Box 499 Radio City Station,  
NY, NY, 10101-0499 USA.

AORTA, Postfach 778, A-1011 Wien, Austria.

FENRIR/ONA Box 228, York YO1 2GZ, England.

BLOOD AXIS Box 18009 Denver, Co. 80218 USA.

NOUVELLE RESISTANCE ARS Editions, BP 778, 44029, Nantes, Cedex  
04, France.

SABBATH STONE Vosdellestr. 37, 1560, Hoeilaart, Belgium.

\* \* \*


Realist Publications  
P.O. Box 38-262  
Petone  
Wellington  
New Zealand

Copyright (c) 1994 Realist Publications



(15)

# REALPOLITIK



"The world is governed by very  
different personages than imagined by  
those who are not behind the scenes."  
Benjamin Disraeli, P.M. of Victorian  
England

**A Satanic Political Science Primer**

## FOREWORD

If I was to choose the single most important premise of Satanism it would be its advocacy of the continuing evolution of Man towards godhood - whether it be called Nietzsche's Overman or the ONA's Homo Galactica.

Posited against Man's ascent, indeed intent on dragging us back to the undifferentiated mass from which it evolved over millenia, are the herd moralities and ideologies Nietzsche exhorted Higher Man to resist. Satanism either takes up Nietzsche's challenge, or it is nothing - an irrelevance.

Too many Satanists wallow in the superficial, in a trivialized self-pampering. Their Satanism is inane, a juvenile nihilism which, far from being a rebellion against anything, is itself a by-product of mass conditioning.

At most, this pseudo-rebellion might take the form of beating the corpse of christianity. However, as the principal moral straightjacket it was long ago eclipsed by Liberalism and cosmopolitanism, and by Marxism which has itself since come and gone.

That many, possibly most, Satanists are completely oblivious to the economic, political, and cultural currents swirling furiously around them says something about the way Satanism is often latched on to as a form of escapism, the antithesis to what Satanism traditionally is - unadulterated **REALISM**.

That so many Satanists are so woefully ignorant of how the modern world operates and where it is heading, and consequently how it all directly impacts upon one's personal freedom, identity and destiny, retards what should today (as it has historically been) be the primary social function of Satanism: a **resistance movement**; i.e. applied heresy.

Is not Satan the archetype of rebellion, the "adversary and accuser"? Where then is the heretical role of Satanism being applied? Certainly not in preoccupation with trivia and non-issues, and the fetish for its symbols and rites.

What has to be understood now is that the Church, our traditional enemy, has for quite some time been replaced by the **BANK**.

Fortunately, there IS a growing awareness of what is taking place, especially among Satanists in Europe who are discovering their repressed heritage and are becoming acutely aware of at least some of the threats posed to their identity and birthright. The English-based Order of Nine Angles and the Fraternity of the Jarls of Balder are largely responsible for this awareness. In the USA some Satanists are managing to rise above the quagmire of that society and are now likewise offering forceful resistance; particularly Michael Moynihan of Blood Axis, et al. Ordo Sinistra Vivendi was founded in New Zealand five years ago to add another factor to the opposition against universal stagnation and to postulate in its place the possibility of a New Faustian Civilization.

The essays that follow have been written over the course of those years for various journals, and have been selected for this publication for the purpose of contributing to the socio-political education of Satanists and to make some suggestions on a **philosophy of strategy**.

Scorpius

4 November 1994E.V.

(1)

## Background....

### THE JUDAEO-CHRISTIAN BID FOR WORLD CONQUEST

[This article was written at the time of the US/UNO War against Iraq, and originally appeared in **The Realist** #50, November 1991]:

The war, it is now clear, was fought for two reasons: (1) to save Israel from an "Arab threat", real or imagined, (2) to herald a "New World Order", long desired by both Gentile and Hebrew financial coteries, but always somehow postponed by the uncertainties of human nature.

These two factions, Gentile and Hebrew, have sometimes worked in conjunction, sometimes separately. They co-operated in setting up first the ill-fated League of Nations, and then its successor the United Nations Organization, as mechanisms for the establishment of a World State.

### Ben Gurion's Vision

For years the UNO appeared, like the League, to be an abortive effort, especially due to the unco-operative attitude of the USSR, which pursued a policy more nationalistic than communistic.

Saddam Hussein provided a heaven-sent opportunity for the Puritan-Jewish money alliance to be reactivated, and to justify the need for a "New World Order" in the interests of "world peace". Hussein was demonized by the mass media as another "Hitler" who - somehow - threatened world peace, and had built Iraq into a military superpower endangering its righteous little neighbours (read Israel). Against this "evil", the "world community" had to unite, as it had against Hitler under the same slogans.

With the dismantling of communism in Eastern Europe and the impending breakup of the USSR and the integration of its former components into the "world economy" at long last, the stage was set for the establishment of the "New World Order".

Indeed, David Ben Gurion, first Prime Minister of Israel, had candidly stated the aims back in 1962 (**Look** 16 Jan.):

"The image of the world in 1987 as traced in my imagination: the Cold War will be a thing of the past. Internal pressure of the constantly growing intelligentsia in Russia for more freedom, and the pressure from the masses in the raising of their living standards may lead to the gradual democratization of the Soviet Union... Western and Eastern Europe will become a federation of autonomous states having a socialist and democratic regime. With the exception of the USSR as a federated Eurasian state, all other countries will become united in a world alliance, at whose disposal will be an international police force... In Jerusalem, the United Nations (a truly **United Nations**) will build a Shrine of the Prophets to serve the federated union of all continents: this will be the seat of the Supreme Court of Mankind, to settle all controversies among the federated continents, as prophesied by Isaiah..."

Today we see Ben Gurion's remarkable prophesy in the process of fulfillment, and even his timetable was only a few

(2)

years out! And better still for the global scheme, even the remnants of the USSR can now be expected to join the "New World Order", rather than staying aloof as an independent "Eurasian federation".

The UNO had indeed taken on its originally-intended role as world policeman, and whether its seat will shift to Jerusalem, with that city as a World Capital, remains to be seen.

#### PURITAN MONEY ETHIC

From the Puritan side of the money alliance came the equally candid statement of its spokesman, President Bush, when commenting on the situation in Iraq:

"Out of these troubled times, our fifth objective - a new world order - can emerge.... We are now in sight of a UN that performs as envisioned by its founders."

Let us now consider something of the nature and history of the Puritan-Jewish money alliance.

While the Jewish role in High Finance is well-known, and at times exaggerated, there exists in parallel a Gentile banking coterie of largely Puritan religious background and outlook. Since both the Puritan and Jewish elements stem from the Old Testament it is quite natural that they should be pursuing common goals.

The late Prof. C. Quigley of the Foreign Service School, Georgetown University, one of America's most eminent historians, wrote of the aims of these money coterie in his colossal book **Tragedy & Hope** (1966):

"There does exist and has existed for a generation, an international network... devoted to the secret use of financial influence over political life."

The aim is, "nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each and every country and the economy of the world as a whole."

Quigley stated that he "knew the operations of this network because I have studied it for twenty years and was permitted for two years in the early 1960s, to examine its papers and secret records."

As an internationalist academic of liberal persuasion, Quigley stated that he was in agreement with most of its aims, and had been close to many of its agencies for most of his life, but his main objection was to its secrecy.

It was Puritanism which provided the ethical and philosophical impetus for the accumulation of capital and the world outlook upon which the financial elite grew. This Puritan ethic, states Quigley, was one of "self-discipline, self-denial, masochism, glorification of work... which became significant elements in the middle class pattern which dominated the 19th century... The middle classes were themselves largely products of the 17th century, and had adopted this point of view which distinguished them from the more self-indulgent attitudes of the two social classes - the peasants below them or the aristocracy and nobility above them.", writes Quigley.

The Puritan methods, continues Quigley, such as restricted consumption and emphasis on saving "provided the capital accumulation of the 19th century's industrial development...

A typical e.g. might be John D. Rockefeller....", founder of one of the financial dynasties we are here considering.

Quigley states that, "the Puritan point of view tended to support political despotism and to seek a one-class uniform society (which) led directly to mercantilism, which regarded political-economic life as a struggle to the death in a world where there was not sufficient wealth or space for different groups."

This Puritan ethic of uniformity and economic domination may be readily seen as the ideology behind the Gentile financial elite's drive for a "New World Order", where different nations, cultures, and peoples are globalized according to the marketing and labour needs of international capital.

#### Puritan Masonry

Pres. Bush as the mouthpiece for the Puritan side of the alliance, is himself a member of a secret society with certain Masonic characteristics, having its origins among the Puritan dynasties.

This "Order" is known as Chapter 322, and recruits as a fraternity at Yale. However, the emphasis is on the cultivation of its initiates **after** graduation, which makes it something other than an ordinary University frat. Founded in 1833, its initiates have included the elite of US politics, law and finance.

Prof. Antony Sutton, former research Fellow at the Hoover Institute, Stanford, who was provided with the membership lists of this "order" by two disgruntled members, observed:

"Most members are from the eastern seaboard of the US... In great part they are descended from English Puritan families whose ancestors arrived in America in the 1630-1660 period. These Puritan families either intermarried with financial power or invited in the sons of money mongers, e.g. Rockefellers, Davison, Harrimans, whose sons became members of the Order."

Sutton, no Jewish conspiracy theorist, nevertheless observes a connection between this Puritan old wealth and its Jewish counterpart. Although there were no Jews in the "Order" until very recently, it had links in the US "to the Guggenheim, Schiff and Warburg families."

Continues Sutton: "The Puritans diverted bankers' wealth to their own objectives without always absorbing the banking families." They control the wealth of the Carnegie and Ford families, for e.g. through their influence over the Foundations. (Sutton, **An Introduction to The Order** Veritas, Australia, 1984).

We may trace the beginning of this Puritan-Jewish money alliance back to the Cromwellian revolution of the 17th century. In return for a pro-Jewish stance that would see the readmittance of the Jews to England, Cromwell enlisted financial support from Amsterdam's Jewish merchants. This was arranged by Mannasseh Ben Israel, a rabbi resident in Amsterdam, who visited London for the purpose. (Douglas Reed, **The Controversy of Zion**, Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay, M.P., **The Nameless War**).

## Backing Zionism

We see the Puritan-Jewish alliance operative again with the rise of Zionism. According to Douglas Reed, a best-selling author and leading journalist before his clash with Zionist interests,

"In 1906 one Arthur Balfour, Prime Minister of England, met Dr Weizmann (of the World Zionist Organization) in a hotel room, and was captivated by the notion of presenting Palestine which was not his to give, to the Jews."

Balfour's niece and lifelong confidante, Mrs Dugdale, wrote that his "interest in the Jews and their history... originated in the Old Testament training of his mother and his Scottish upbringing..." Christianity and civilization owed a debt to the Jews which cannot be repaid, Balfour believed.

Conservative M.P. Oliver Locker-Lampson, speaking of himself and his friends Winston Churchill, Lloyd George and Balfour (these three having been the chief British Establishmentarian exponents of Zionism) wrote of their upbringing as vigorous Protestants, who believed in the coming of a new saviour when Palestine returns to the Jews.

As Reed noted: "This is the messianic idea of Cromwell's Millenarians, foisted on the 20th century."

In 1917, as Foreign Secretary, Balfour addressed a letter to Lord Rothschild, stating Britain's support for the creation of a Jewish homeland in Palestine (which became known as the infamous "Balfour Declaration"), which was to provide the Zionists the leverage they needed to eventually take the whole of Palestine.

From Cromwell, to Balfour to Bush, we find a connecting thread of Old Testament Messianism linked to money ethics and combined in an alliance poised to integrate the world in a "New World Order."

[Note: Since the above was written Bush has been replaced by Clinton as US President, but the pursuance of the World State proceeds apace, with the US military being no more than the militia of the world plutocrats, rushing about the world putting out any obstacle to the "New World Order". Additionally, South Africa, whose independent-minded peasant stock, the Boers, were from the start an obstacle to plutocratic plans, have been subdued at the behest of Oppenheimer, Rockefeller, and others of the Puritan-Jewish network].



ARTHUR JAMES BALFOUR

Balfour, a central figure in the Puritan-Jewish nexus

## SATANISM & TRADITIONALISM

Satanism has been defined variously in, for e.g. Anarchist, Nihilist, and Fascist terms. Since Satanism operates dialectically there is an element of truth in all of these definitions. Satanism is not permanently bound to any specific ideology. It shifts and shapes itself pragmatically, as the times and circumstances require. The two fundamental points that do remain constant are : (1) its Quest for continued human evolution, whether called Homodeus, Ubermensch, or Homo Galactica; (2) Its imperative to maintain balance - individually, collectively, and cosmically.

For e.g. when Church and Throne imposed such a stifling serfdom over formerly free pagans that social (and therefore cultural) stagnation resulted, Satanism, represented by the 'witch cult,' was Anarchistically inclined. It was an underclass of the dispossessed, outcasts who preferred an outlaw life in preference to serfdom. It was an underground revolutionary movement which taught the arts of assassination through poisoning and worshipped the Old Gods such as Diana, Lucifer and Aradia.

With the rise of industrialism and the replacement of the prince and the prelate by an oligarchy, the Anarchistic tendency remained, now often represented in Masonry by such ideologues as Proudhon and Bakunin and Carducci who sang the praises of Satan as the arch-rebel. The American Revolution was initiated to break free of the Bank of England and establish a regime on Classical principles which established the separation of Church and State.

Today, while the banker remains more firmly in power than ever, the pendulum has swung from a stifling serfdom to a stifling egalitarianism. The plutocrats also act dialectically, and today push their 'New World Order' under the guise of 'democracy' and 'equality' and 'progress', to break down the national and cultural and other boundaries that inhibit world marketing strategies.

In this era the restoration of balance to our planet requires a resurgence of Authority and Hierarchy, in repudiation of democracy and equality which are dragging humanity down into a nebulous mass of consumerist automatons.

However, unlike the authority and hierarchy of the old regimes of prince and prelate, we require a return to the balanced ethos of the pre-Christian pagan era, where social order was based on natural law, when hierarchy and authority were predicated on innate and proven talents in wisdom and warriorship, and not in the ability to make money by juggling ledger entries.

The plutocrats have a Messianic legacy and mission that can most readily be traced back to the 17th C. English Puritan Revolution under Cromwell. Since then Puritan wealth has often worked in tandem with Jewish wealth, and more latterly with Zionist ambitions. The Puritan oligarchy sees the Jews as God's Chosen People destined to rule the world, and themselves as 'spiritual Jews'. This Puritan love affair with the Jews has manifested in the creation of the State of Israel, for e.g., when the machinations of Puritan Establishment figures such as Lord Balfour in England and Pres. Wilson in the USA were instrumental in setting the stage for a Jewish State which they saw as fulfilment of Biblical prophecy. We here

cite merely the most obvious e.g. of the Puritan alliance with Judah.

Indeed, Christianity itself is at the root of the revolt against the Natural Order. The Liberal and Marxist onslaughts used by the plutocrats in this affront to Nature are themselves secular variants of the Nazarene dogma; Christianity was proto-Communism as Nietzsche pointed out, and Liberalism is a metamorphosis of both.

Today the tentacles of the Puritan-Zionist plutocratic alliance reach into every facet of our lives, as it seeks to break down those values and institutions that are necessary for the existence of a viable and balanced society.

These institutions and the values that flow therefrom include the family, nationhood and one's cultural birthright. These are sociobiological institutions rooted in Nature herself, and are therefore ORGANIC BONDS. They have evolved over millenia, but the plutocracy seeks to tear them asunder over the course of a few generations and replace them with the superficial and the materialistic, in order to create a new serfdom based on the rule of money.

Our Civilization was from its beginning contaminated by the alien Levantine creed that was to transform into Liberalism and Marxism. It was a creed that infected the very essence of our being with its unworthy repudiation of the family and nationality. The original teachings of Jesus and Paul, no matter how distorted by conservative-oriented christians, preached a cosmopolitanism and repudiation of organic bonds that is today culminating in a Messianic World State. Jesus exhorted his followers to put loyalty to himself before loyalty to one's family, and predicted that one day every household would be divided against itself. Paul taught that there is no such thing as nationality or even gender in the context of christianity. Marx taught the same from a materialistic perspective. Today Liberalism, as the servant of plutocracy, preaches the same. Liberalism has replaced both Marxism and Christianity as the religion of the masses through which plutocracy keeps its serfs in line.

Family, Nation, and culture are timeless because they are reflections of Nature. It is in defence of these institutions, in opposition to the plutocratic messianists of Puritanism and Judaism, that Satanism today should present itself as essentially a **resistance movement**. Our old enemy the Church has for some time been replaced by the Bank. That probably MOST Satanists are totally ignorant of the war that is now underway, whose outcome will determine our freedom and our destiny, is due to the aura of unreality and escapism that surrounds them. A strange situation for a supposed philosophy of realism.



"There is nothing inherently wrong with fascism, given the nature and needs of the average citizen... Now it's not so much a case of avoiding fascism, but of replacing a screwed up, disjointed, fragmented and stupefying kind of fascism with one that is more sensible and truly progressive." -Anton LaVey

The inanity of the Libertarianism at present being insinuated into American Satanism is itself nothing but a product of the inanity of American "culture". It is ironic that those Libertarians claiming to embrace "the deity of rebellion" should themselves be conditioned results of the U.S. mass education, entertainment and media System. Far from 'rebelling', these Libertarian-Satanists are simply parts of the status quo.

The Libertarians have embarked on an anti-Fascist crusade. Their chief spokesman is Jeffrey Deboo, editor of **Dark Reflections**, whose principal articles so far dealing with what he sees as "the Fascist infiltration of Satanism" (sic) are the widely reprinted "Naziism, Racism, and Satanism", and "Fascism & the Deity of Rebellion". The most notable thing about his position is that it is based **entirely** on empty rhetoric, without the wearisome need to resort to actual historical specifics. Erroneous Libertarian assumptions are considered sufficient, in his delusional state, for "Fascist Satanists" such as Michael Moynihan & myself to be awed by his intellectual acumen.

#### THE REBELS OF FASCISM

Mr Deboo's main point is that since Satan is the archetype of rebellion, his individualism is antithetical to totalitarian ideologies such as Fascism. He contends that "Fascist Satanists" such as myself would not be tolerated by Fascist regimes. What Mr Deboo doesn't realize is that Fascism was **founded** by the "individualists and eccentrics" he thinks he's championing. The first fasci was established in Italy in 1918 by the Futurists led by Marinetti. The latter went on to found the Fascist Party with Mussolini in 1919. Marinetti became head of the Fascist Writers' Union, was elected to the Academy, and the influence of Futurism on Fascism was maintained for the duration of the regime. Marinetti and that other leading Italian eccentric and individualist D'Annunzio regarded themselves - and were regarded by the regime - as the precursors of Fascism.

Other avante garde writers and artists such as those around Ezra Pound, Wyndham Lewis and the Vorticist movement in England, supported Fascism as the defence against the stupefying affects of democracy on culture. Ezra Pound in particular saw Fascism as the opponent of plutocracy which he considered to be infecting all forms of human activity. He was granted radio facilities by the Italian Government to broadcast against plutocracy during the war. In contrast, with the Allied victory the Americans incarcerated him in an animal cage, after which he was confined for years in a lunatic asylum, and denied literary awards solely due to his politics.



What Mr Deboo et al don't realize, because they have no political or historical knowledge, is that the American Revolutionary War against England was of precisely the same order as the War between the Axis and the Allies. Both were fought over the issue of plutocracy.

#### REVOLT AGAINST THE PLUTOCRATS

Ben Franklin wrote: "The Colonies would gladly have borne the little tax on tea and other matters had it not been that England took away from their Colonies their money, which created unemployment and dissatisfaction."

What Franklin was referring to was the "Colonial Scrip" the Colonies had issued according to "the demands of trade and industry", without recourse to the usury of private banking. The Bank of England replied by putting through Parliament in 1764 an Act prohibiting the issue of Colonial Scrip. The Scrip was devalued by 50%, and Franklin stated that within a year the streets were filled with the unemployed. The American Founding Fathers in the Constitution provided the Government with the prerogative to issue its own credit. This right was undermined over the decades through various mechanisms, culminating in the Reserve Bank Act of 1913.

Likewise, the Axis powers, and Fascism generally, sought a system of 'autarky', or national economic self-sufficiency. The Fascist states gained prosperity while the democracies under the thrall of plutocracy suffered economic depression until the War. Compare Franklin's statement with Hitler's in 1937: "We have come to learn that the value of the currency lies in the productive capacity of the nation." Additionally, Germany pulled out of the world trade system and instituted a system of bartering surplus products.

Mr Deboo, despite his imagined adherence to the "deity of rebellion", condemns one of the few epochal rebellions in history.

#### WHIG LIBERALISM & SOCIAL DARWINISM

Another feature of Libertarian-Satanism is the misapplication of Darwinian evolutionary principles to economics in what is called "Social Darwinism". The latter was formulated by 19th C. Whig Liberalism to rationalize unrestrained capitalism without the need to consider the consequences to the nation as a whole. Contemporary Libertarian-Satanism is merely an attempt to revive the corpse of 19th C. Whig Liberalism. It is a doctrine that has latterly been tried without success in several countries including New Zealand.

The Libertarian's "Social Darwinism" rests on a contempt for the "mass" or "herd" as a supposed application of 'Satanic misanthropy' and 'rational self-interest.' The belief is that, like a century ago, the superior will rise to the top, while the rest can sink or swim. This "Social Darwinism" is the misapplication of "the survival of the fittest" to economics. This is held to be 'true eugenics' in operation, as opposed to Fascist eugenics which necessitates State intervention. What the Libertarians do not recognize is that the social organism is itself a collective survival unit. Altruism, which would be considered most unSatanic, is in origin ultimately selfish, true 'rational self-interest', based on the principle, "you scratch my back; I'll scratch yours." The individual organism enhances its own survival, and thus the perpetuation of its genes, by subordinating itself to an organic social order. This is precisely what Fascism

implemented on a political level.

The tendency among some American Satanists to be preoccupied with their position of superiority above "the herd" which they view with revulsion, is reflected in their indignation at the prospect of any form of commitment to anything wider than themselves. It is a view that prevailed among the petty shop keepers and bankers in 19th C. England.

#### FAUSTIANISM, OR THE SCRAP-HEAP ?

The result was that an oligarchy grew prosperous while leaving the bulk of its fellow citizens to rot, misapplying the new-found Darwinian theory as an intellectual prop.

Results of this Libertarian vision splendid included the relegation of the unemployed to the work houses where families were separated, where mothers had to keep watch for rats lest their babies' fingers were gnawed; where three year olds worked in pits.

One "Satanist" writing in **The Black Flame** lauded the deportations to Australia as a "Satanic" measure to rid England of its "human locusts" (sic). (Bradford de Rastrick, "Some Thoughts on Pentagonal Revisionism", **TBF** Vol. 5 #1 & 2). Yet those who were deported were the very individualists who had the courage and initiative to organize labour unions, for e.g. (which surely made THEM the rebels against the status quo) or those who stole some scrap in an effort to feed their families. So far from Australia being the Establishmentarian "Satanists' eugenic dumping ground" for redundant humanity, the Australians, in a collective Nietzschean effort of self-overcoming, soon developed a strong sense of national identity (reflected at an early date in the Eureka rebellion, for e.g.) and a uniquely Australian culture formed by such geniuses as the writer Percy Stephenson (friend of Crowley's and a pro-Fascist) and the Nietzschean/pagan painter Norman Lindsay.

What Whig-Liberalism represented was a colossal waste of a nation's most valuable resource, its citizens, because it eschewed any form of social organization and national planning.

The Libertarian-Satanists claim to advocate "meritocracy" via their laissez faire sink-or-swim attitude. Yet because they are ignorant of political and economic realities, they do not understand that there cannot be even a semblance of meritocracy until such time as plutocracy is eliminated. And that is precisely what Fascism did.

There can be no meritocracy as long as the individual is constrained by the insecurity of usurious mortgages and other interest rates on compound debt; or the possibility of unemployment by unrestrained world trade, free credit movement and transnational company relocations, over which he can have absolutely no control, whatever his personal merits.

But any attempt by the State to establish any form of social order is decried by the Libertarians as tyranny and as an intrusion on their delusory "freedom".

Fascism sought to harness its citizens to creative tasks. It gave each individual the opportunity to fulfil his potential without recourse to egalitarian fantasies. It enabled every citizen to be elevated culturally through programmes such as "Strength Through Joy".

The results were seen even by avid anti-Nazis such as William Shirer who admitted that "the young in the Third

Reich were growing up to have strong and healthy bodies, faith in the future of their country and in themselves and a sense of fellowship and camaraderie that shattered all class and economic and social barriers. I thought of that later, in the May days of 1940, when along the road between Aachen and Brussels one saw the contrast between the German soldiers, bronzed and clean-cut from a youth spent in the sunshine on an adequate diet, and the first British war prisoners, with the hollow chests, round shoulders, pasty complexions and bad teeth - tragic examples of the youth that England had neglected so irresponsibly in the years between the wars."

It seems clear enough, from actual results, what regime implemented genuine evolutionary principles, true Social Darwinism.

In the final analysis, the differences between the Libertarian-Satanists and the "Fascist-Satanists" are fundamental: the former see Satanism as nothing more than rampant individualism to the point of trivializing life itself; the latter see Satanism as a Nietzschean/Faustian imperative towards continuing human ascent - both biological and cultural.



James H. Madole,  
Satanic political  
activist,  
lifelong opponent of  
internationalism,  
exponent of  
Realpolitik.



## DIABOLIC STRATEGY

Diabolic strategy has been most articulately explicated as **sinister dialectics** by the Order of Nine Angles in Britain. If I wished to define it in a single word I would choose **pragmatism**. While the ultimate goal remains, the methods to achieve it vary and change as required, and might even appear at times to be antithetical or back-peddling. The Marxists called the strategy as it related to their ideology "dialectical materialism". This was simply described by Mao as "two steps forward, one step back." Thus one might appear superficially to be retreating or compromising, yet the ultimate goal in the long-term is being advanced, often quite imperceptibly.

Those "Satanists" lacking insight into history will misunderstand or not recognize sinister dialectics when confronted with it. A prime example is Dr Aquino of the Temple of Set. Although a lecturer in philosophy and a specialist in Military Intelligence he is apparently bewildered by the concept. Having read material by this writer which is both 'Anarchistic' and 'Neo-Nazi' the only way he could explain this seeming paradox was to accuse me of praising 'neo-nazi street thuggery' as a prelude to an 'anarchist utopia' (sic)! The 'neo-nazi thuggery' was a reference to a **Heretic** editorial which had lauded German youth for taking to the streets to reassert their national pride after decades of Allied occupation and humiliation. Of course, as a Lt. Col. in US Military Intelligence, Aquino cannot back anything genuinely "heretical" (Satanic). As a self-proclaimed "Ipssisimus" of the T.O.S. his Satanic insight is non-existent. I simply use Aquino as an example of the widespread lack of understanding amongst those "intellectual Satanists" mainly residing in the USA, home of world inanity.

Aquino and others like him cannot comprehend that support for anything that undermines the status quo - whether of the "Left" or the "Right" - is part of a dialectical strategy that stands outside of, and uses and creates, certain historic trends and political happenings, without being swept along by them. Thus an editorial supporting youthful German street action must be viewed in a broad historical context, rather than as a knee-jerk accusation that 'riots against immigrants' are being condoned. It is the **effects** of the actions, sometimes into quite a long-range future, that are significant, whatever the target, and whoever the perpetrators.

The actions had significant repercussions for Europe quite beyond the understanding, I'm sure, of the young perpetrators, and those superficial observers who could only think in terms of 'neo-nazi street thuggery'.

Such "intellectual Satanists" lack insight because they are still trapped in a dualistic mind-set and a linear historical perspective. Their world is a very simple black and white, no matter how sophisticated and educated they imagine themselves to be. They do not see the intricacies and the 'grey areas' of history.

These intricacies compose interaction between antithetical ideas and historical currents which lead to new realities. The **conscious manipulation** of these interactions is sinister dialectics in operation. Thesis + antithesis = synthesis, and so forth, in the sense of Hegelian historical dialectics.

## DIALECTICAL STRATEGISTS

To illustrate the dialectical strategy in operation let us take some examples from history.

I believe one of the most adept dialecticians today is Zhirinovsky in Russia. On the one hand he talks of A-bombing Germany and other European nations, while on the other he talks of a federation of white nations and cultivates contacts with nationalists in those same countries he threatens with annihilation. My hypothesis is that his aim in threatening Europe is to undermine the status quo by presenting Russia as a potential threat again. The aim is to rouse Europeans from their lethargy by **posing** as an enemy. The result is to create a state of tension in Europe which might see Zhirinovsky's nationalist friends electorally triumph. The long-term goal is the creation of a New European Order freed from what Zhirinovsky has condemned as "Zionization and Americanization".. Although Zhirinovsky leaves himself open to be portrayed by the mass media as a dangerous lunatic, those who know him, including his enemies, describe him as highly educated and astute. When working for the KGB a dialectical strategy was used to promote Jewish cultural initiatives as a counter to Zionism. As a lawyer, Zhirinovsky just happened to be on hand to offer his services in establishing a Jewish alternative to Zionism.

Speaking of the Jews, they are themselves inherently adept at dialectical strategy. They will promote communism, capitalism, and anything else to serve long term goals. A prime e.g. of this strategy was Zionist support for Hitler. In the short-term it meant a loss of Jewish power in Germany and other European nations. In the long term the dividends to Zionism were great. Zionism achieved its goals by allowing its erstwhile enemies temporary success. Likewise, Communism was installed, and was demolished overnight once its usefulness was over, and indeed once the Eastern bloc became an obstacle to the New World Order.

Moses Hess was a master of dialectical strategy. While few will today recognize his name, he was the father of **both** Zionism and Communism. As a Zionist, he was the most chauvinistic of Jewish nationalists; as a Communist and the mentor of Marx he promoted internationalism and class division to undermine the gentile nations. His policy was racial unity for the Jews; class war amongst the goyim.

"When we sink we become the revolutionary proletariat; when we rise, there rises our terrible power of the purse." - Theodore Herzl, founder of modern Zionism).

## SINISTER DIALECTICS

What are the options then for the **Satanist** in pursuing dialectical strategy?

First, one must identify one's goal, and then the enemies of that goal. In my view, the goal is a Satanic Imperium that will usher in a Faustian/Odian/Promethean Civilization, which will impel Man to the stars, and engender the Nietzschean Higher Man and still further forms of evolution. The major **moral** obstacle today is liberalism moreso than christianity;

the former being an outgrowth of the latter. But even here the significance of this enervating and levelling morality is that it is a tool of our principal enemy, plutocracy.

This is now centered in the USA. It was spawned by the Puritan-Jewish financial alliance, whose origins we can most readily trace to the Cromwellian revolution in England in the 17th Century. This axis was carried through the English Establishment until the end of World War I, then transferred its centre to the USA prior to World War II and the destruction of the old European empires. It was epitomized by such politicians as Wilson in the USA and Balfour in Britain, and in recent years by George Bush.

The Puritan-Jewish plutocracy itself operates dialectically, promoting in the short-term any-ism or degradation that will destroy any obstacles on the way to the New World Order. Anything that undermines national, cultural and ethnic boundaries is encouraged. The plutocratic aim is to reduce humanity to a mass of interchangeable economic units, produce-and-consume automatons, in a World State where "culture" is nothing more than a mass marketing gimmick. Petty egotism and unrestrained selfishness are lauded as the "new morality" to break down obstacles to the New World Order, but once the World State is entrenched the most regimented regime will be the result: dialectical strategy in operation. We are in fact already living under a de facto World dictatorship where any reticent nation is punished by UNO/US "policing actions" (e.g. Iraq, Rwanda, Haiti, Panama).

I believe that today the Satanist's traditional adversarial role is fulfilled: not by kicking the carcass of christianity, but as the adversary of this internationalist/cosmopolitan push by the Puritan-Jewish plutocracy.

Present-day sinister dialectics will include promoting anything that undermines plutocracy. Since consumerism, liberalism, internationalism and multi-culturalism are the primary weapons of the plutocrats, our own arsenal should include ideologies that are of a folkish and nationalistic nature. The sinister strategist should not be bound by his own ideological prejudices. He might support both the extreme "Right" and the extreme "Left", or a synthesis of both. He might promote Fascism in one context, and Anarchism in another. He might support Satanism in the West; while encouraging Islamic fundamentalism in the Arab states, which at present is the only major bloc hindering the plutocracy..

The sinister dialectic would encompass initiating or supporting manifestations that sow havoc and chaos in society so that in the longer term the populace will be **pushed** into reacting by demanding a return to order. A tension on society should be fomented to undermine the plutocratic-induced lethargy of the masses, to bring society to the point of crisis until something gives the masses loose confidence in the status quo which will no longer be able to provide them with their first concern: security.

The plutocrats generally (but not always) use **gradualism**, a type of capitalist--Fabianism: the most radical restructuring of societies is being implemented over the course of several decades and is hardly perceptible to the average individual. The plutocratic goal now stands on the threshold of fulfilment under the auspices of the UNO. Yet we have a libertarian "Satanism" which is itself merely a product of plutocratic-induced trends. If the Satanist is to be an adversary, and herald the Satanic Imperium, it is incumbent on him to oppose such trends; to heighten the contradictions within the plutocracies to a perpetual state of crisis until the status quo can no longer be sustained. Above the ruins of the (old) "New World Order" the Satanic Imperium might yet arise.